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Examining the Impact of the Spatial Dimension of Rural Development Policies on the example of EU second pillar (2007-2013)

BACKGROUND
The spatial dimension of Public Payments for Rural Development: allocation practices, impact mechanisms and scope for improvement

The EU expenditures for Rural Development, having raised from 2.9% of the total CAP budget in the 1990ties to 12.3% in the beginning of the 2010ths reflect the growing strategic and societal value attached to this policy in addressing the new global challenges for rural areas in the enlarged EU. Analysing the recent and ongoing reforms proves that Rural Development Programmes (RDP) made substantial progress towards sectoral integration and thematic broadening, and at the same time provided continuity of well-established and important measures. Still, under growing budget constraints better targeting of funds becomes more crucial. Comparing design and allocation practices of Regional RDPs however, reveals large differences in targeting strategies, all too often based on implementation history and experience of previous periods. Better availability, coordination and digital connectivity of expenditure data and different other data sources across the EU introduced the Common Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (CMEF), aiming at clearer depicting intervention needs at a regional scale (NUTS2-NUTS3).

The EU project SPARD (www.spard.eu) took those data as a starting point to find out more about the causal relationships between RD measure implementation, their determining factors and their impacts with a specific look at their spatial dimension: For which rural development measures is success affected by conditions of neighborhood and at what scale?

SPARD developed and applied new methodological approaches, particularly spatial econometrics, to evaluate selected measures that contribute to improved competitiveness, environmental performance and rural viability.

Results presented touch the following issues:

• EU RDP intervention logic, RDP effectiveness and the spatial dimension
• Neighbourhood effects and spillovers between regions and between municipalities
• Learning about spatial and non-spatial determinants of participation and about effectiveness and efficiency: An in depth view into European case studies, specific measures of the three RD axis, indicators and shortcomings of the CMEF
• Experiences and requirements towards the CAP 2020 from an evaluation practice point of view

Overall, the results emphasizes the difficulty of evaluation of RDPs even using instruments much more sophisticated than those used in the current evaluation practices. While lack of appropriate information remains an issue, the experience carried out also show the trade-offs between generalized approaches and need for consideration of ad hoc local determinants, as well as between the quest of higher precision and the implied information burden.
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